Doctoral dissertation in Business Administration

WHY WOMEN DESIRE CONSPICUOUS LUXURY ROMANTIC GIFTS?

-THE MEDIATING ROLE OF "BEING LOVED" SOCIAL COMPARISON-

Department of Business Administration, Major in Marketing

Tran Thi Tuyet
Supervised by Professor Choi, Jinmyung

August, 2018

Graduate School of Daegu University

WHY WOMEN DESIRE CONSPICUOUS LUXURY ROMANTIC GIFTS?

-THE MEDIATING ROLE OF "BEING LOVED" SOCIAL COMPARISON-

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Business Administration

Department of Business Administration, Major in Marketing

Tran Thi Tuyet

Supervised by Professor Choi, Jinmyung

Confirming Tran Thi Tuyet's doctoral dissertation in Business Administration

August, 2018	
Chairman of Screening	(Signature)
Member of Screening	(Signature)
Member of Screening	(Signature)
Member of Screening	(Signature)
Member of Screening	(Signature)

Graduate School of Daegu University

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
1. Research motivation	1
2. Purpose and method of study	3
II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	4
1. Women's desire for romantic gifts	4
2. Evolutionary psychology of women's desire	6
3. Intrasexual competition and women's desire for romantic gifts	7
4. Mate retention and women's desire for luxury romantic gifts	10
5. "Being loved" social comparison	13
6. Hypotheses	16
III. EMPIRICAL STUDY	20
1. Study 1	20
1) Method	20
2) Result and discussion	27
2. Study 2	30
1) Method	30
2) Result and discussion	41
3. Study 3	54
1) Method	54
2) Result and discussion	58
IV. CONCLUSION	79
1. General discussion	79
2. Implications and Conclusion	80

3. Limitations and Future Directions	.82
REFERENCES	84
ABSTRACT	93
APPENDIX 1	94
APPENDIX 2	95

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Sample Characteristics	22
Table 2. Manipulation check for feeling closeness, luxury goods, and sc	enario
quality	26
Table 3. Descriptive statistics for "being loved" perception	28
Table 4. Tests of Between-Subjects Effects	29
Table 5. Sample characteristics	32
Table 6. "Being loved" comparison elicited by three conditions	35
Table 7. Luxury goods evaluation between two conditions	35
Table 8. Scenario quality	36
Table 9. Rotated Component Matrix of product items	37
Table 10. Conspicuous and inconspicuous luxury goods construct reliability	38
Table 11. Rotated component matrix of luxury cosmetics	39
Table 12. (in)Conspicuous luxury cosmetics construct reliability	39
Table 13. Descriptive statistics for "being loved" comparison	41
Table 14. Descriptive statistics for women's conspicuous goods desire	43
Table 15. Descriptive statistics for women's inconspicuous goods desire	44
Table 16. Descriptive statistics for women's conspicuous cosmetics desire	44
Table 17. Descriptive statistics for women's inconspicuous cosmetics desire	45
Table 18. Regression results of simple mediation (a)	47
Table 19. Regression results of simple mediation (b)	49
Table 20. Regression results of simple mediation (c)	51
Table 21. Regression results of simple mediation (d)	53
Table 22. Descriptive statistics for "being loved" comparison	59
Table 23. Descriptive statistics for women's conspicuous goods desire	60

Table 24. Regression results of simple mediation (e)	63
Table 25. Descriptive statistics for women's conspicuous goods desire (b)	65
Table 26. Descriptive statistics for women's <i>in</i> conspicuous goods desire	66
Table 27. Descriptive statistics for women's conspicuous goods buying	66
Table 28. Descriptive statistics for women's <i>in</i> conspicuous goods buying	67
Table 29. Regression results of simple mediation (f)	69
Table 30. Regression results of simple mediation (g)	73
Table 31. Regression results of simple mediation (h)	75
Table 32. Regression results of simple mediation (i)	77

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Effect of comparison target's luxury romantic gift on "Being loved"
perception
Figure 2. Proposed model (a)
Figure 3. Effect of luxury gift on "being loved" comparison
Figure 4. Effect of luxury gift on women's conspicuous goods desire
Figure 5. Mediated model for effect of luxury gift on women's conspicuous goods
desire via "being loved" comparison
Figure 6. Proposed model (b)
Figure 7. Effect of competitiveness of social network on "being loved"
comparison
Figure 8. Effect of competitiveness of social network on women's conspicuous
goods desire (a)
Figure 9. Mediated model for effect of competitiveness of social network on
women's conspicuous goods desire via "being loved" comparison (a)
Figure 10. Effect of competitiveness of social network on women's conspicuous
goods desire (b)
Figure 11. Mediated model for effect of competitiveness of social network on
women's conspicuous goods desire via "being loved" comparison (b)

Why Women Desire Conspicuous Luxury Romantic Gifts?

-The Mediating Role of Being Loved Social Comparison-

Tran Thi Tuyet

Department of Business Administration Graduate School, Daegu University Gyeongbuk Korea

Supervised by Professor Choi, Jinmyung

(Abstract)

Can close friends' luxury romantic gifts (from romantic partners) affect other women's consumption of luxury goods? This study examines whether a woman's luxury gift affects her same-sex close friends' desire for luxury gifts. Besides, "being loved" social comparison (e.g., my friend seems to be more loved than I am) is a psychological mechanism that makes women compare their relationship to others'. Experiment 1 shows that a close friend (vs. stranger) who get a luxury (vs. non-luxury) gift from her romantic partner will trigger other women to make "being loved" social comparison. Experiment 2 finds that women expose to their friends' luxury (vs. non-luxury) gifts will desire conspicuous (vs. inconspicuous) luxury gifts via "being loved" social comparison mindset. Finally, a survey shows that women in a higher competitive social network (frequency of exposing to friend with luxury gifts) also have a higher desire for luxury romantic gifts. These results contribute to a better understanding of women's desire for luxury romantic gifts. It also illustrates that that evolutionary psychological approaches can be useful for understanding consumer behavior.

Keywords: women's desire, "being loved" comparison, luxury goods, evolutionary psychology

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Research motivation

Humans are a sexually dimorphic species, meaning that each sex behaves differently than does the other in many ways. For example, men and women act differently in risk-taking (Byrnes, Miller, & Schafer, 1999), in aggression (Bettencourt & Miller, 1996; Lagerspetz, Björkqvist, & Peltonen, 1988; Salmivalli & Kaukiainen, 2004), in depression (Nolen-Hoeksema, 2001; Nolen-Hoeksema & Girgus, 1994) or in displaying blatant benevolence behavior (Griskevicius et al., 2007). Moreover, in marketing, marketers also use gender as an essential segmentation because men and women are different from consuming products. For example, men and women are different from responding to price, advertising, social influence while shopping or purchasing and reacting with internet advertising or in displaying loyalty to brand (Audrain-Pontevia & Vanhuele, 2016; Garbarino & Strahilevitz, 2004; Kurt, Inman, & Argo, 2011; McMahan, Hovland, & McMillan, 2013; Melnyk, Osselaer, & Bijmolt, 2009). Thus, it seems that men and women are psychologically different.

Men and women are also different in gift-giving behavior. According to Sherry and McGrath (1989), gift giving is "work of women." As compared to men, women offered more gifts than they received (Caplow, 1982; Fischer & Arnold, 1990), they paid more on average (Rucker et al., 1991) and were more satisfied with their gift selection (Fischer & Arnold, 1990). However, these findings have confined to contexts other than romantic relationships (such as gift giving during Christmas). In a romantic relationship, it has been found that it is men and not women that play a more significant role in gift giving in the context of courtship (Saad & Gill, 2003).

Gift giving could have evolved as a distinctly male courtship strategy (Saad &

Gill, 2003). According to Trivers' (1972) parental investment theory and Buss's (1989) mate preferences, men are less investing sex, men prefer sexual variety for increasing reproductive success, whereas women are high investing sex, their preference is generous men with resources. Therefore, men use gifts as a strategy to advance their fitness outcomes because men believe that gifts can be exchanged for sexual favors (Belk & Coon, 1993). Stating a differently, men are tactical for offering gifts to a romantic partner and will stop offering gifts once a woman confesses her love (Belk & Coon, 1993). In courtship context, men's motivations (functions) in offering gifts to female partners seem to be obvious, while women's motivations (functions) in receiving gifts from male partners remain unclear.

The purpose of this study was to investigate women's motivation in receiving gifts under dating context. For men, gift giving can serve as adaptive tactics in the courtship context. For women, there is a reason to believe that women's motivations to get gifts from romantic partners (romantic gift) might serve as an adaptive function which is beneficial for them and their offspring's survival strategy. It is because by seeing the number of money men spend on a romantic gift, women can make inferences on how much men desire (love) them and men commit to her. The more a man is willing to invest his resources in a relationship, the more commitment he makes, and thus the less he will leave the relationship (Buss, 1988) (mate retention strategy). Moreover, receiving a romantic gift can help a woman to boast how much her romantic partner commits to her. Thus, obtaining a romantic gift might help to ward off potential rivals (intrasexual competition) (discuss later). If these arguments are correct, women are not merely passive in receiving gifts (e.g., men give gifts and women receive). They might be active in receiving gifts (asking or requesting for gifts). By examining adaptive functions of women's receiving gifts from a romantic partner, we can understand